# Duality and Equational theory of regular languages

Yann Pequignot

Supervisor: Jacques Duparc, Université de Lausanne, Switzerland. Co-supervisor: Jean-Éric Pin, LIAFA, University Paris-Diderot and CNRS, France.

> Réunion FREC — May 2011 Île de Ré

## Background

Formal languages, automata and monoids

- Finite set A, called an **alphabet**.
- Finite sequences on *A*, called **words**.
- Binary operation on words, called concatenation.

$$a_1 \cdots a_n \cdot b_1 \cdots b_n = a_1 \cdots a_n b_1 \cdots b_n$$

- Free monoid on A: A\* all words on A.
- Subsets of *A*\*, called **languages**.
- A machine can specify a language.
- Finite state automaton: simplest model.

## Background

Formal languages, automata and monoids For any  $L \subset A^*$ , TFAE:

- L is recognised by a finite automaton
- L is recognised by a finite monoid  $(M, \cdot, e)$

there exists a surjective monoid morphism  $\varphi : A^* \to M$  onto a finite monoid M and some  $P \subseteq M$  such that for all  $w \in A^*$ 

 $w \in L$  if and only if  $\varphi(w) \in P$ .

• The syntactic congruence  $\sim_L$  defined by

$$u \sim_L v$$
 iff  $\forall x, y \in A^* xuy \in L \leftrightarrow xvy \in L$ 

is of finite index.

 $\operatorname{Rec}(A^*) = \{L \subseteq A^* \mid L \text{ is recognisable}\}$ 

## Eilenberg Theorem

Varieties of languages and finite monoids

- A **variety of languages** is the association to each finite alphabet *A* of a
  - Boolean subalgebra V(A\*) of Rec(A\*),
  - closed under quotienting,  $\forall L \in \mathcal{V}(A^*)$  and  $\forall u \in A^*$

$$u^{-1}L = \{w \in A^* \mid uw \in L\} \in \mathcal{V}(A^*),$$

$$Lu^{-1} = \{w \in A^* \mid wu \in L\} \in \mathcal{V}(A^*),$$

• closed under inverse image by morphisms.

### Theorem (Eilenberg, 1976)

There is a bijective and order preserving correspondence between varieties of languages and varieties of finite monoids.

A variety of finite monoids is a class of finite monoids closed under

- submonoid,
- quotient monoid,
- finite direct products.

## Birkhoff Theorem

A **variety of monoids** is a class of (not necessarily finite) monoids closed under

- submonoid,
- quotient monoid,
- (not necessarily finite) direct products.

A monoid is commutative if  $\forall x \forall y (xy = yx)$ .

The commutative monoids form a variety, characterised by the equation xy = yx.

We can see xy and yx as words on the alphabet  $A = \{x, y\}$ .

A monoid *M* is commutative iff for all morphism  $\varphi : \{x, y\}^* \to M$  we have  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx)$ .

A monoid *M* satisfies the equation (u, v) if for all morphism  $\varphi : A^* \to M$  we have  $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v)$ .

## Birkhoff Theorem

A **variety of monoids** is a class of (not necessarily finite) monoids closed under

- submonoid,
- quotient monoid,
- (not necessarily finite) direct products.

An equation is a couple (u, v) of words on a finite alphabet A.

A monoid *M* satisfies the equation (u, v) if for all morphism  $\varphi : A^* \to M$  we have  $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v)$ .

### Theorem (Birkhoff, 1935)

A class of monoids is a variety if and only if it is definable by a set of equations.

Is there a similar characterisation for varieties of finite monoids?

### Reiterman theorem

A profinite metric on  $A^*$ ,  $u, v \in A^*$ :

$$d(u,v) = 2^{-\min\{|A| \mid A \text{ distinguishes } u \text{ and } v\}}$$

where an automaton A distinguishes u and v if it accepts one of them and rejects the other.

#### Theorem

The completion  $(\widehat{A^*}, \mathcal{T}, \cdot)$  is a compact zero dimensional monoid called the **free profinite monoid** on A.

Points in  $\widehat{A^*}$  are Cauchy sequences of finite words, called **profinite** words. Example:  $x^{\omega} = \lim_{n \to \infty} x^{n!}$ .

Define a **profinite equation** as a couple (u, v) of profinite words on a finite alphabet A.

A finite monoid M satisfies a profinite equation (u, v) if for all continuous monoid morphism  $\widehat{\varphi} : \widehat{A^*} \to M$  we have  $\widehat{\varphi}(u) = \widehat{\varphi}(v)$ .

Theorem (Reiterman, 1982)

A class of finite monoids is a variety of finite monoids if and only if it is definable by a set of profinite equations.

### Eilenberg-Reiterman theorem



## Stone duality

A Boolean algebra is a structure  $(B, \land, \lor, \{\}^{\complement}, 0, 1)$  s.t.

- $\land$ ,  $\lor$  associative
- $\land$ ,  $\lor$  commutative
- $\land$ ,  $\lor$  distributive
- absorption  $x \lor (x \land y) = x$
- complementation  $x \wedge x^{\complement} = 0, \ x \vee x^{\complement} = 1$

Examples:

- field of sets,  $\mathcal{P}(E)$
- Rec(A\*)
- Boolean algebras of recognisable languages

A **Boolean space** is a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  s.t.

- Hausdorff: distinct points are separated by neighbourhoods
- **Compact**: open covers contains finite subcovers
- **0-dimensional**: there is a clopen basis.

Examples:

- finite discrete spaces
- Cantor space  $2^{\omega}$
- $\bullet\,$  closed subspace of  $2^\omega$

### Stone duality

Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a Boolean space:

 $\mathsf{Clop}(X) = \{ c \subseteq X \mid c \text{ closed and open for } \mathcal{T} \}$ 

with the Boolean structure inherited from  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ .

Let 
$$(B, \land, \lor, \{\}^{\complement}, 0, 1)$$
 be a Boolean algebra:  
Ult $(B) = \{u \subseteq B \mid u \text{ ultrafilter of } B\}$ 

is a Boolean space for the topology generated by the sets of the form

$$\{u \in \mathsf{Ult}(B) \mid b \in u\} \quad b \in B.$$

### Theorem (Marshall H. Stone, 1936)

Every Boolean algebra is isomorphic to the algebra of clopen sets of a Boolean space. Furthermore

Clop(Ult(B)) = B and Ult(Clop(X)) = X.

# Stone duality and recognition Examples



Theorem (Almeida, Pippenger, 1997) The underlying topological space of the free profinite monoid on a finite set A is dual to the Boolean algebra of recognisable languages on A.

## Stone duality

For  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  continuous define  $\operatorname{Clop}(f) : \operatorname{Clop}(Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Clop}(X)$  by  $c \longmapsto f^{-1}(c)$ 

For  $h : A \longrightarrow B$  Boolean morphism define  $Ult(h) : Ult(B) \longrightarrow Ult(A)$  by  $u \longmapsto h^{-1}(u)$ 

### Theorem

Clop(f) is a Boolean morphism and Ult(h) is continuous. Furthermore

h surjective iff Ult(h) injective
h injective iff Ult(h) surjective

## Stone duality and recognition



 $B = \{L \in \operatorname{Rec}(A^*) \mid \qquad \xleftarrow{\text{Galois}}_{\text{connection}} E = \{(x, y) \in \widehat{A^*} \times \widehat{A^*} \mid \forall (x, y) \in E \ (L \in x \leftrightarrow L \in y)\} \forall L \in B \ (L \in x \leftrightarrow L \in y)\}$ 

A recognisable  $L \subseteq A^*$  satisfies  $x \leftrightarrow y$  if  $L \in x \leftrightarrow y \in L$ 

Theorem (Gehrke, Grigorieff, Pin, 2008)

A set of recognisable languages on A is a Boolean algebra iff it can be defined by profinite equations of the form  $x \leftrightarrow y$ .

### Extended Stone duality

Can duality account for the product on the free profinite monoid  $(\widehat{A^*}, \mathcal{T}, \cdot)$ ?

Consider supplementary operations on the BA  $\text{Rec}(A^*)$ .

The relevant operations are the **left** and **right residuals** by  $M \in \text{Rec}(A^*)$  are defined by

$$N \longmapsto M \setminus N = \{ u \in A^* \mid \text{for all } v \in M, vu \in N \}$$
$$N \longmapsto N/M = \{ u \in A^* \mid \text{for all } v \in M, uv \in N \}$$

These operations are characterised by the property that for all  $L, M, N \in \text{Rec}(A^*)$ 

$$L \subseteq N/M$$
 iff  $L \cdot M \subseteq N$  iff  $M \subseteq L \setminus N$ 

### Extended Stone duality

A **Boolean residuation algebra** is a Boolean algebra *B* with two binary operations  $\backslash, / : B \times B \rightarrow B$  s.t.

•  $(\bigvee_{\text{finite}} a_i) \setminus b = \bigwedge_{\text{finite}} (a_i \setminus b) \text{ and } b \setminus (\bigwedge_{\text{finite}} a_i) = \bigwedge_{\text{finite}} (b \setminus a_i)$ 

- $(\bigwedge_{\text{finite}} a_i)/b = \bigwedge_{\text{finite}} (a_i/b) \text{ and } b/(\bigwedge_{\text{finite}} a_i) = \bigvee_{\text{finite}} (b/a_i)$
- Galois property:  $\forall a, b, c \in B$   $b \leq a \setminus c \leftrightarrow a \leq c/b$



For  $x, y, z \in Ult(B)$  define the relation

 $\begin{array}{ll} (x,y) Rz & \text{iff} \quad \forall a,b \in B \ (b \in x \text{ and } a \notin z) \to b \backslash a \notin y \\ & \text{iff} \quad \forall a,b \in B \ (b \in y \text{ and } a \notin z) \to a/b \notin x \end{array}$ 

Not functional from  $Ult(B) \times Ult(B) \rightarrow Ult(B)$  in general!

## Extended Stone duality



### Theorem (Gehrke, Grigorieff, Pin, 2008)

The dual space of the Boolean algebra of recognisable languages on A with residuals under extended Stone duality is the free profinite monoid on A.

## Extended Stone duality and recognition

A variety of languages is the association to each finite alphabet *A* of a

- Boolean subalgebra V(A\*) of Rec(A\*),
- closed under quotienting,  $\forall L \in \mathcal{V}(A^*)$  and  $\forall u \in A^*$

$$u^{-1}L = \{w \in A^* \mid uw \in L\} \in \mathcal{V}(A^*),$$

$$Lu^{-1} = \{w \in A^* \mid wu \in L\} \in \mathcal{V}(A^*),$$

 closed under inverse image by morphisms.

### Proposition

A Boolean algebra of recognisable languages is closed under quotienting iff it is a Boolean residuation ideal of  $\text{Rec}(A^*)$ .

A Boolean residuation ideal of  $\operatorname{Rec}(A^*)$  is a Boolean subalgebra B of  $\operatorname{Rec}(A^*)$  such that for all  $L \in B$  and all  $K \in \operatorname{Rec}(A^*)$ 

 $\frac{\mathbf{K} \setminus L \in \mathbf{B},}{L/\mathbf{K} \in \mathbf{B}}.$ 

## Extended Stone duality and recognition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\operatorname{Rec}(A^*), \cap, \cup, \{\}^{\complement}, \emptyset, A^*, \backslash, /) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Extended}} & (\widehat{A^*}, \mathcal{T}, \cdot) \\ & \underset{ideal}{\operatorname{residuation}} & \xleftarrow{\operatorname{diality}} & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Stone\ monoid}} \\ & (B, \cap, \cup, \{\}^{\complement}, \emptyset, A^*, \backslash, /) & (\widehat{A^*}/E, \cdot) \end{array}$$

### Theorem (Dekkers, 2008)

The Boolean residuation ideals of recognisable languages correspond dually to the profinite monoid quotients of  $\widehat{A^*}$ .

Say 
$$L \in \text{Rec}(A^*)$$
 satifies the equation of the form  $x = y$ ,  
 $(x, y) \in \widehat{A^*}$  if forall  $u, v \in \widehat{A^*}$  it satisfies  $uxv \leftrightarrow uyv$ .

### Theorem (Gehrke, Grigorieff, Pin, 2008)

A set of recognisable languages on A is a Boolean algebra closed under quotienting iff it can be defined by a set of profinite equations of the form x = y.