

HW3

MPRI 2.11.1

Can't get enough Algorithms

16.10.2014 - Due on Thursday 23.10 before 15:00



You are asked to complete the exercise marked with a [★] and to send me your solutions at:

nicolas.schabanel@liafa.fr

(or drop it in my mail box on the 4th floor) on Thursday 23.10 before 15:00.

■ **Exercise 1 (A PTAS for the Knapsack problem).** Given a knapsack of capacity C and n objects of sizes $0 \leq s_1, \dots, s_n \leq C$ and values $v_1, \dots, v_n > 0$, the knapsack problem consists in finding a subset of objects fitting in the knapsack and of maximum value, i.e. a set $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\text{size}(S) = \sum_{i \in S} s_i \leq C$ and that $\text{value}(S) = \sum_{i \in S} v_i$ is maximum. This problem is *NP*-complete and we want to design a PTAS for it, i.e. a $(1 - \epsilon)$ -approximation for all $\epsilon > 0$.

In order to do so, we first try to solve efficiently a simpler problem:

► **Question 1.1)** Assume that the values of the objects are positive integers in $\{1, \dots, V\}$ for some integer V . Propose an algorithm that solves exactly the knapsack problem in time $O(n^2V)$ using $O(nV)$ memory.

We proceed as follows to reduce the $(1 - \epsilon)$ -approximation of the general knapsack problem to this simpler setting. Let $V = \max_i v_i$ and $K = \epsilon V/n$. We associate to each object its *rounded value*: $\bar{v}_i = \lfloor v_i/K \rfloor \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor n/\epsilon \rfloor\}$. We denote by $\bar{\text{value}}(S) = \sum_{i \in S} \bar{v}_i$ the rounded value of a given set of objects S . We denote by $\text{OPT}' = \max\{\bar{\text{value}}(S) : S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, \text{size}(S) \leq C\}$ the optimum rounded value of a subset of objects fitting in the knapsack.

Let S^* be the optimal solution computed by the algorithm of question 1.1 for the rounded instance with sizes s_1, \dots, s_n and values $\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_n$.

► **Question 1.2)** Show that $\text{value}(S^*) \geq (1 - \epsilon) \cdot \text{OPT}$. What is the overall time complexity of the resulting PTAS in n and ϵ ?

▷ Hint. Show that $\text{value}(S^*) \geq \text{value}(O) - nK$ for all optimal solution O of the initial instance.

■ **Exercise 2 (Constant Time Approximation Scheme).** (★) A *matching* in an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ is a subset $M \subseteq E$ of edges with no extremities in common, i.e.: $\forall (e, e') \in M^2, e \neq e' \Rightarrow e \cap e' = \emptyset$. A matching is *maximal* if it is maximal for the inclusion (no more edge can be added to it without violating the matching property).

We consider the following problem: Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with maximum degree δ , determine the size of one of its maximal matchings.

Assume that we assign to each edge e a real number r_e chosen independently and uniformly in $[0, 1]$. Consider the following greedy algorithm: Start with an empty matching M ; Consider each edge e in order of increasing r_e , and add e to M if e does not intersect any edge already in M .

► **Question 2.1)** Show that the greedy algorithm computes a maximal matching that depends only on r (almost surely, i.e. with probability 1). We will denote this matching $M(r)$.

► **Question 2.2)** Show that an edge e belongs to $M(r)$ if and only if none of its incident edges e' with $r_{e'} < r_e$ belongs to $M(r)$.

Consider the following recursive procedure $\text{MagicTest}(e)$ for testing if e belongs to $M(r)$: output `true` if for all edge e' incident to e with $r_{e'} < r_e$, we have $\text{MagicTest}(e') = \text{false}$; and output `false` otherwise. (Note that $\text{MagicTest}(e)$ outputs `true` (the neutral element for `and`) if e has no incident edge e' with $r_{e'} < r_e$).

► **Question 2.3)** Show that $\text{MagicTest}(e)$ determines correctly and after a finite number of steps whether e belongs to $M(r)$ or not.

► **Question 2.4)** Show that for any given edge e with $r_e = \rho$, the expected number of recursive calls made by $\text{MagicTest}(e)$ (over all possible values of r conditioned to $r_e = \rho$) is upper bounded by the function $Q(\rho)$ verifying:

$$Q(0) = 1 \text{ and } Q(\rho) = 1 + (2\delta - 2) \int_0^\rho Q(x) dx.$$

Solve this system to prove that $Q(\rho) = e^{(2\delta-2)\rho}$. Conclude that the expected number of recursive calls made by $\text{MagicTest}(e)$ is upper bounded by $e^{2\delta-2}$ for all edge e .

Consider the following algorithm for estimating the size of a maximal matching: First, draw the random values (r_e) ; then repeat t times: draw an edge $e \in E$ at uniformly and independently at random and run $\text{MagicTest}(e)$; Let s be the number of times $\text{MagicTest}(e)$ answered true and output the value $\vartheta = \frac{m \cdot s}{t}$.

► **Question 2.5)** Show that for fixed r , the expectation of the output value ϑ is $|M(r)|$. Show moreover that if $t \geq c \cdot \frac{\delta^2}{\epsilon^2}$ for some suitably chosen constant c , then:

$$\Pr\left\{ |\vartheta - |M(r)|| \leq \epsilon \cdot |M(r)| \right\} \geq \frac{2}{3}.$$

Hint. Show that for all r , $\frac{m}{2\delta-1} \leq |M(r)| \leq m$ and use Hoeffding's inequalities.

We conclude that with probability $\geq 2/3$, our algorithm returns an estimate of the size of $M(r)$ with a relative error $\leq \epsilon$. We call such an algorithm a ϵ -estimator of $|M(r)|$.

► **Question 2.6)** How many values of r_e does our algorithm use in expectation? How to avoid drawing all the r_e beforehand? Conclude with an ϵ -estimator running in constant time $O\left(\frac{\delta^2 \cdot e^{2\delta-2}}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ in expectation for the problem of determining the size of a maximal matching in a graph G with maximum degree δ .

Note. Estimating the size of a maximal matching is not really interesting. However, with the same technics, one can modify the MagicTest procedure to construct an ϵ -estimator running in constant expected time for the size of the maximum matching in a graph with maximum degree δ .